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There has been an increasing trend in the electric power system from a centralized generation-driven grid to a more reliable, environmental friendly, and customer-driven grid. One of the most important issues which the designers of smart grids need to deal with is to forecast the fluctuations of power demand and generation in order to make the power system facilities more flexible to the variable nature of renewable power resources and demand-side. This paper proposes a novel two-tier scheme for forecasting the power demand and generation in a general residential electrical grid which uses the distributed renewable resources as the primary energy resource. The proposed forecasting scheme has two tiers: long-term demand/generation forecaster which is based on Maximum-Likelihood Estimator (MLE) and real-time demand/generation forecaster which is based on Auto-Regressive Integrated Moving-Average (ARIMA) model. The paper also shows that how bulk generation improves the adequacy of proposed residential system by canceling-out the forecasters estimation errors which are in the form of Gaussian White noises.
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1. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, increasing awareness about environmental issues and sustainable energy supply introduced modern power system, called smart grid (SG), to upgrade conventional power system by utilizing novel technologies. There are many influential elements in the SG which helps power grid to achieve a more reliable, sustainable, efficient and secure level, such as distributed renewable resources (DRRs), advanced metering infrastructure (AMI), energy storage devices, electric vehicles, demand response programs, energy efficiency programs, and home area networks (HANs) \cite{1,2}. Furthermore, recent advances in deploying communication networks in SG provide two-way communication between utility and electricity consumers and improve market efficiency \cite{3}. In a related context, conventional generation resources mostly use fossil fuel as their energy source which is a major environmental concern. To overcome this problem, SG will experience a high penetration of DRRs which has two main advantages: 1) cost-effective because the main energy source is free (wind energy, sunlight, etc), 2) produce no hazardous pollution. Additionally, DRR utilization helps power system to become dispersed. Therefore, not only SG is more distributed than conventional power system but power generation units are trying to implement green-based energy resources \cite{4}.

One of the most challenging issues in future power system design and implementation is the flexibility of power system devices to adapt the stochastic nature of demand and generation \cite{5,6}. In other words, high penetration of DRRs, such as wind power and photovoltaics, is not sufficient to achieve an acceptable level of reliability in terms of adequate supply of elec-
tricity demand; for instance the output power of wind generators requires excessive cost to manage intermittency [7]. Consequently, there is a foremost obligation to develop an accurate forecasting method to predict the power generation of intermittent DRRs.

Based on US Energy Information Administration (EIA) assessment, energy demand will increase by 56% from 2010 to 2040. This astonishing consumption growth is driven by economic development [8]. Additionally, on the demand side, customers’ demand depends on many factors and there are many studies performed in order to achieve an accurate forecast methodology. Load forecast uncertainty plays a pivotal role on power system studies such as loss estimation, reliability evaluation, and generation expansion planning. Demand forecasting methods including, but not limited to, fuzzy logic approach, artificial neural network, linear regression, transfer functions, Bayesian statistics, judgmental forecasting, and grey dynamic models [9].

Considering all of the above-mentioned issues, including uncertainty of demand and generation, forecasting errors and DRR intermittent generation profile, proposing an accurate demand/generation forecasting scheme is definitely required to achieve a more reliable and secure power grid. In other words, the purpose of this paper is to propose a framework in which the SG customers are satisfied in terms of supplying their demand reliably, independent from the wide variation of DRRs’ generation amount.

1.1. Related Works
Utilizing green power generation units, DRRs, requires electricity demand/generation forecasting which are addressed in recent studies. In [5], deferrable demand is used to compensate the uncontrollable and hard-to-predict fluctuations of DRRs. As a result, green-based power generation units can utilize the flexibility of the customers to meet demand appropriately. They introduced an efficient solution using stochastic dynamic programming implement their method. Moreover, consumer participation in generation side is modeled in term of demand response. Implementation of demand response programs brings many advantages for the SG: 1) customer participation in generating power, 2) transmission lines congestion management, and 3) reliability improvement. In [10], a flexible demand response model is proposed. This model is useful for evaluation customer’s reactions to electricity price and incentives. They defined a strategy success index to evaluate the feasibility of each scenario [10].

Additionally, Hernandez et al. performed a comprehensive survey on power grid demand forecasting methods considering SG elements. This study classifies load forecasting based on forecasting horizons: very short term load forecasting (from seconds to minutes), short term load forecasting (from hours to weeks), medium and long term load forecasting (from months to years). The authors also classified forecasting methods according to the objective of forecast: one value forecasting (next minute’s load, next year’s load), and multiples values forecasting (such as peak load, average load, load profile) [9].

Smart load management studies also require load/generation forecasting to efficiently balance load and generation in a near-real time manner. In [11], a multi-agent based load management framework is introduced. This approach considered renewable resources and responsive demand to achieve an acceptable level of load-generation balance. For a broader treatment on this, there are some other researches on this topic that considered electric energy dispatch in presence of DRRs [12,13]. For a broader treatment on this, please see [14–25].

1.2. Our Contribution
In this paper, we propose a novel hybrid (two-tier) scheme for forecasting the power demand and generation in a residential electrical grid. The grid has expanded over a city consisting of a number of communities, Distributed Renew-
able Resources (DRR), and some bulk generations back-up plan. Our forecasting scheme has two tiers: long-term demand/generation forecaster which is based on Maximum-Likelihood Estimator (MLE) and real-time forecaster which is based on Auto-Regressive Integrated Moving-Average (ARIMA) model (see [26–29] for related work). In the long-term forecaster, we use the classification of historical demand/generation data to build our estimator; while in the real-time one, we predict the time series of power demand/generation using a discrete feedback control system which gets feedback from short-term previous values. We show that how the bulk generators can improve the adequacy of our residential system by canceling-out the forecasters estimation errors which are in the form of Gaussian White noises.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 represents a general framework of the problem. In Section 3 we discuss our proposed two-tier forecasting scheme in both long-term and real-time time horizons. A far-reaching adequacy analysis framework is presented in Section 4. Finally, summary and outlook are given in Section 5.

2. PROBLEM SPECIFICATION

Consider a network of communities in a city. In each community, there are a number of customers and a distributed renewable resource\(^1\) which supplies the energy needed by the customers in the community. Moreover, there are a few power plants which are outside the communities and scattered over the city to help the distributed renewable resources generate electricity on demand. Existence of these extra plants improves the performance of our electrical distribution system. We refer to these extra power plants as bulk generators. The electric energy generated by these generators can be transferred to each community in the city through the network of communities schemat-

\(^1\)An industrial facility for the generation of electric power. The power generator of a distributed renewable resource use renewable energy sources.
In this section, we focus on how to forecast the power demand and generation in short/long-term. At the first subsection, we propose a Maximum-Likelihood Estimator for long-term forecasting which is crucial in the process of low-cost energy flow management and also is a basis for real-time forecasting of power demand/generation. In the following subsection, two estimation models will be proposed for real-time forecasting of demand and generation. In the first one which is a two-tier hybrid model (based on MLE and AR), we assume that the forecast random processes are stationary in few hours; however, the second model (which is an ARIMA) is more appropriate for the case that the forecast random processes doesn’t show stationary behaviors even in few hours.

3. OUR PROPOSED HYBRID FORECASTING SCHEME

In this section, we focus on how to forecast the power demand and generation in short/long-term. At the first subsection, we propose a Maximum-Likelihood Estimator for long-term forecasting which is crucial in the process of low-cost energy flow management and also is a basis for real-time forecasting of power demand/generation. In the following subsection, two estimation models will be proposed for real-time forecasting of demand and generation. In the first one which is a two-tier hybrid model (based on MLE and AR), we assume that the forecast random processes are stationary in few hours; however, the second model (which is an ARIMA) is more appropriate for the case that the forecast random processes doesn’t show stationary behaviors even in few hours.

3.1. Long-Term Forecasting

We assume that there are a set of customers \( C \) distributed over an area. By partitioning the area into \( n \) disjoint parts \( A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n \), we obtain a corresponding partition of set \( C: C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_n \) (communities of customers). The demand values of every subset \( C_q \) has been measured every \( u \) units in time period \([0, T_u]\) for some integer \( T \) and real value \( u \).

Assume that a year is divided into \( m \) parts (school time, Christmas holidays, Summer break, etc) based on the similarity of electricity usage pattern. We partition time interval \([0, T_u]\) into \( m \) subsets: \( I_1, I_2, \ldots, I_m \) such that set \( I_i \) contains the \( i^{th} \) part of every year belonging to \([0, T_u]\). Additionally, every set \( I_i \) is divided to two parts: weekends \( I_{i1} \) and business days \( I_{i2} \). Moreover, assuming that a day is divided into \( d \) parts (again based on the similarity of electricity usage pattern during the day), we partition every interval \( I_{ij} \) into \( I_{ij1}, I_{ij2}, \ldots, I_{ijd} \).

In addition, assume that we have the historical weather data in every area \( A_q \) over period \([0, T_u]\). Considering that \( W \) denotes the set of different weather conditions, we partition time interval \( I_{ijk} \) in the following form for every area \( A_{qi} \):

\[
I_{ijk} = \bigcup_{w \in W} I_{ijk}^{(w, q)}
\]
for every $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$, $j = 1, 2, k = 1, 2, \ldots, d$, $q = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. Note that in Equation 1, $I_{ijk}^{(w,q)}$ specifies the subset of $I_{ijk}$ such that the weather condition in area $A_q$ and time $t \in I_{ijk}^{(w,q)}$ is $w$.

Now, assuming that interval $[0, T u]$ contains $\delta$ days, let $D_v$ specifies the $v^{th}$ day of time interval $[0, T u]$ for every $v = 1, 2, \ldots, \delta$. Additionally, consider $D(q, \tau)$ as the power demanded by the set of customers $C_q$ measured at moment $u \tau$ (for every $\tau = 0, 1, \ldots, T$). For every interval $I_{ijk}^{(w,q,v)} = I_{ijk}^{(w,q)} \cap D_v$, if $I_{ijk}^{(w,q,v)} \neq \emptyset$, we specify five parameters: $X_1^{(q)}$ which is the number of years passed since $t = 0$ (till interval $I_{ijk}^{(w,q,v)}$), $X_2^{(q)}$ which is the number of weeks passed since the beginning of the $i^{th}$ partition of a year, $X_3^{(q)}$ which is the number of days passed since the beginning of the $i^{th}$ partition of a week, $X_4^{(q)}$ is the temperature in area $A_q$ and time interval $I_{ijk}^{(w,q,v)}$, and

$$y^{(q)} = \frac{\sum_{u \tau \in I_{ijk}^{(w,q,v)}} D(q, \tau)}{\sum_{u \tau \in I_{ijk}^{(w,q,v)}} 1} \quad ,$$

where $y^{(q)}$ specifies the average power demanded by the set of customers $C_q$ in time interval $I_{ijk}^{(w,q,v)}$.

For every subset $I_{ijk}^{(w,q)} \subset [0, T u]$, we construct a maximum-likelihood estimator for the dependent variable $y^{(q)}$ based on the following linear model:

$$\hat{y}^{(q)} = [1 \ X_1^{(q)} \ X_2^{(q)} \ X_3^{(q)} \ X_4^{(q)}] [\hat{\beta}_0 \ \hat{\beta}_1 \ \ldots \ \hat{\beta}_4]^T + N(0, \sigma^2) \quad .$$

(3)

Considering that condition $I_{ijk}^{(w,q,v)} \neq \emptyset$ is only true for $v = v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_p$, we obtain that $Y^{(q)} = X^{(q)} \beta + \epsilon \quad \forall q = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ such that $Y^{(q)} = [y_1^{(q)}, \ldots, y_p^{(q)}]_{p \times 1}$, $\beta = [\beta_0, \ldots, \beta_4]_{5 \times 1}$, $\epsilon = [\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_p]_{p \times 1}$, and $X^{(q)} = [1 \ X_1^{(q)} \ X_2^{(q)} \ X_3^{(q)} \ X_4^{(q)}]_{p \times 5}$. Symbol $y_t^{(q)}$ specifies the average power demanded by the set of customers $C_q$ in time interval $I_{ijk}^{(w,q,v)}$; moreover, $X_{11}, \ldots, X_{14}$ denote the parameters on which $y_t^{(q)}$ is dependent (for every $t = 1, 2, \ldots, p$).

Using the maximum-likelihood method for the linear model mentioned in Equation 3, we obtain that:

$$\hat{\beta}_{ML} = (X^{(q)}T X^{(q)})^{-1}X^{(q)}T Y^{(q)}T \quad ,$$

(4)

$$\hat{\epsilon}_{ML} = \left( Y^{(q)} - X^{(q)} \hat{\beta}_{ML} \right)^T N(0, \sigma^2_{ML}) \quad ,$$

(5)

and

$$\sigma^2_{ML} = \left( Y^{(q)} - X^{(q)} \hat{\beta}_{ML} \right)^T \times \left( Y^{(q)} - X^{(q)} \hat{\beta}_{ML} \right)/p \quad .$$

Note that the ML estimator specified in Equation 3 can forecast the average power demand in an interval of few hours. However, by using the estimator repetitively and for different intervals $I_{ijk}^{(w,q)}$, we can forecast the average power demand for longer time; however, the variance of error will increase respectively. Additionally, the similar estimation model can be made for power generation. The only difference is that we don’t need to partition a week into two parts. Moreover, we have to partition a year into small parts based on the similarity of power generation pattern.

3.2. Real-Time Forecasting

In the previous subsection, we partitioned the interval $[0, T u]$ into $\Theta(mdW)$ subsets in the form of $I_{ijk}^{(w,q)}$ (for every set of customers $C_q$).

Additionally, for every subset $I_{ijk}^{(w,q)}$, a maximum likelihood estimator was constructed to estimate the average power demanded by customers $C_q$ in time interval $I_{ijk}^{(w,q)} \cap D_v$. Our ultimate goal in this section is to construct an estimator for the value of power demanded

$^4$addition of b.i.i.d. jointly normally distributed random variables of variance $\sigma^2$ is also a normal variable of variance $k \sigma^2$. 
by set of customers $C_q$ in moment $t = 	au u$ (for some integer value $\tau$) based on ARIMA$(a, 0, 0)$ model with drift $-\mu^{(q)}$:

$$\left(1 - \sum_{l=1}^{a} \phi_l L^l \right) \left(\mathcal{D}(q, \tau) - \mu^{(q)}\right) = \varepsilon_\tau \ , \ (7)$$

where $\mu^{(q)}$ is the average of demand value $\mathcal{D}(q, t)$ in time interval $t \in I_{ijk}^{(w, q, v)}$ which is estimated by Equation 3, $\varepsilon_\tau$ is a white noise of variance $\sigma_\tau^2$, $\tau u \in I_{ijk}^{(w, q, v)}$ for some $i, j, k, w, v,$ and $L$ is the lag operator: $L(\mathcal{D}(q, \tau)) = \mathcal{D}(q, \tau - 1)$. By replacing $\mu^{(q)}$ with $\hat{\mu}^{(q)} + \varepsilon'$ where $\varepsilon' \sim N(0, \sigma^2_{ML})$, we obtain that:

$$\mathcal{D}(q, \tau) = \left(\sum_{l=1}^{a} \phi_l - 1\right) \hat{\mu}^{(q)} + \sum_{l=1}^{a} \mathcal{D}(q, \tau - l) \frac{\text{estimated value}}{} + \varepsilon_\tau + \left(\sum_{l=1}^{a} \phi_l - 1\right) \varepsilon' \ . \ (8)$$

Note that Equation 7 works only if random process $\mathcal{D}(q, t)$ shows stationary behavior; otherwise, we need to use the model with moving average. In fact, assuming that process $\mathcal{D}(q, t)$ is not stationary, ARIMA$(a, 1, 0)$ is much better for short-term forecasting:

$$\left(1 - \sum_{l=1}^{a} \phi_l L^l \right) (1 - L) \mathcal{D}(q, \tau) = \varepsilon_\tau \ . \ (9)$$

Consequently, we obtain that:

$$\mathcal{D}(q, \tau) = (\phi_1 + 1) \mathcal{D}(q, \tau - 1) + \sum_{l=2}^{a} (\phi_l - \phi_{l-1}) \mathcal{D}(q, \tau - l) \ (10)$$

$$- \phi_a \mathcal{D}(q, \tau - a - 1) + \varepsilon_\tau \ .$$

As you see, ARIMA$(a, 1, 0)$ model forecasts the demand value using its $(a + 1)$ previous values with a white noise error.

In addition, the power generation of the $g^{th}$ generator can also be forecast using ARIMA$(a', 1, 0)$. Assuming that $\mathcal{G}(g, t)$ specifies the instantaneous power generated by the $g^{th}$ generator at moment $t$, we have:

$$\left(1 - \sum_{l=1}^{a'} \phi_l L^l \right) (1 - L) \mathcal{G}(g, \tau) = \varepsilon'_\tau \ ; \ (11)$$

or equivalently,

$$\mathcal{G}(g, \tau) = (\phi'_1 + 1) \mathcal{G}(g, \tau - 1) + \sum_{l=2}^{a'} (\phi'_l - \phi'_{l-1}) \mathcal{G}(g, \tau - l) \ (12)$$

$$- \phi'_a \mathcal{G}(g, \tau - a' - 1) + \varepsilon'_\tau \ .$$

In the following section, we analyze the adequacy of the electricity system based on ARIMA$(a, 1, 0)$ forecasting model.

4. ADEQUACY ANALYSIS

By assumption, we consider the maximum security for our electrical facilities (like wires). Henceforth, the system reliability in our discussion refers to the system adequacy. In order to analyze the system adequacy, we need to use the forecasting models of instantaneous demand and generation presented in the previous section: $\mathcal{D}(q, \tau) = \hat{\mathcal{D}}(q, \tau) + D_t$, and $\mathcal{G}(g, \tau) = \hat{\mathcal{G}}(g, \tau) + G_t$ such that $\hat{\mathcal{D}}(q, \tau)$ and $\hat{\mathcal{G}}(g, \tau)$ are obtained by the ARIMA estimators specified in Equations 10 and 12; additionally, $D_t$ and $G_t$ are two independent Gaussian white noises of the following covariance functions (regarding the Central-Limit theorem, the estimation errors of the instantaneous demand and generation are Gaussian processes): $\text{cov}(D_s, D_t) = \sigma_2^2 \cdot \delta(s - t)$ and $\text{cov}(G_s, G_t) = \sigma_3^2 \cdot \delta(s - t)$.

Now, assume that community $C_q$ uses the $g^{th}$ renewable power plant (DRR) to satisfy its demand. Assuming that at given time $t$, community $C_q$ has stored $\mathcal{S}(q, t)$ units of energy, we obtain that $\mathcal{S}(q, t) = \int_0^t (\hat{\mathcal{G}}(g, t') - \mathcal{D}(q, t')) dt' + s_q$ for every $t \geq 0$ such that $s_q$ is the initial stored energy in the community. By replacing the generation and demand functions with their equivalent random processes, we obtain that $\hat{\mathcal{S}}(q, t) = \hat{\mathcal{S}}(q, t) - \mathcal{W}_t$ where
\[ S(q, t) = \int_0^t (\hat{G}(q, t') - \hat{D}(q, t')) dt' + s_q \] and \( W_t = \int_0^t (D_t - G_t) dt' \). Since \( G_t \) and \( D_t \) are two independent Gaussian white noises, \( W_t \) is a Wiener process of variance \((\sigma_q^2 + \sigma_s^2)\) and covariance function \( \text{cov}(W_s, W_t) = \min\{s, t\} \cdot (\sigma_q^2 + \sigma_s^2) \).

Moreover, it is easy to show that the expected value of the stored energy at given time \( t \) is \( \hat{S}(q, t) \).

According to the above analysis, the amount of stored energy \( S(q, t) \) is equal to the summation of deterministic amount \( \hat{S}(q, t) \) and the scaled Wiener process \((-W_t)\). In the rest of our analysis, we assume that the expected value of the stored energy never becomes less than the initial amount of energy \((s_q)\); i.e. \( \hat{S}(q, t) \geq s_q \) for every \( t \geq 0 \). This condition can be held by providing sufficient DRRs for every community (which is designed based on long-term forecasting of power demand and generation).

Here, we define the system adequacy ratio \( (\rho_q(0, t)) \) for the \( q^{th} \) community as the probability that the actual stored energy \( S(q, t') \) doesn’t meet the low-threshold \((s_q - \lambda)\) for some \( \lambda \in [0, s_q] \) and every \( t' \in [0, t] \). So, if \( \rho_q(0, t) = \text{Pr} [\forall t' \leq t : S(q, t') > s_q - \lambda] \) where \( M_t \) is the running maximum process corresponding to the scaled Wiener process \( W_t \). So, regarding the characteristics of the running maximum process, we conclude that \( \rho_q(0, t) \geq \text{erf}(\frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2\sigma^2}}) \) such that \( \sigma^2 = \sigma_q^2 + \sigma_s^2 \). In other words, we assume that if \( \hat{S}(q, t) \leq s_q - \lambda \) (the stored energy becomes lower than some threshold in the \( q^{th} \) community), the consumers demand will not be satisfied anymore. Figure 3 shows how the lower-bound of the reliability ratio changes as parameters \( \lambda \) and \( \sigma^2 \) get different values.

As you see in Figure 3, if the DRR of each community is the only source of power for the community customers, the adequacy ratio will substantially decrease over time. In fact, even if the DRRs are sufficient to satisfy the customers’ demand in long-term \( S(q, t) \geq s_q \), the system adequacy can not be guaranteed because of the white noise errors existed in the short-term forecasting scheme of demand and generation. Subsequently, we have to get help from the bulk generators located outside the community to cancel out the temporary noises and improve the adequacy ratio by generating extra energy on demand.

4.1. Canceling out the Noise by Energy Requests

As mentioned before, if the value of \( S(q, t) \) falls below some threshold \((s_q - \lambda)\), the system adequacy will be endanger. In this subsection, we use the bulk generation as a back-up plan to prevent such event. To do this, we design a controller to watch the amount of stored en-

Algorithm 1: LOCALLOADMANAGEMENTUNIT

**Input:** Community Index \( q \) & time \( t \)

1. if \( \hat{S}(q, t + 1) \leq s_q - \lambda \) then
2. Ask the bulk generations for \( s_q - \hat{S}(q, t + 1) \) units of energy;
3. end
4. if \( D(q, t) > G(q, t) \) then
5. Create an energy flow of size \( D(q, t) - G(q, t) \) originated from the storage unit toward the customers;
6. end
7. else
8. Divide the energy flow originated from the DRR into two branches: one toward the customers and the other toward the storage unit.
9. end

![Figure 3. Lower Bound of The Adequacy Ratio of a Community for Three Different Values of \( \lambda \) and \( \sigma^2 \).](image-url)
ergy in different communities during the time. In the case that \( S(q, t) \leq s_q - \lambda \) for the \( q^{th} \) community, the controller asks the bulk generation to fill the gap and cancel out the noise \(-W_t\) by providing the community with \( W_t \) units of energy.

Here, we focus on what has to be done by the LLMU specified in Figure 1b. The recently explained scenario which specified the functionality of LLMU cannot be implemented in the real-world as the values of \( G(q, t) \) and \( D(q, t) \) are obtained from random processes. This urges us to forecast these values in short term (for example, every 15 minutes). Algorithm 1 shows the practical way of implementing LLMU using forecasting models for the \( q^{th} \) community. As mentioned before, by forecasting (estimating) the power demand and generation using ARIMA model, we will obtain white noise errors added to the real values of \( G(q, t) \) and \( D(q, t) \) as the estimated values. These noises on the estimated power values will add some errors in the form of Brownian Motion processes to the estimated values of stored energy.

5. SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK

This paper proposed a novel hybrid scheme for forecasting the power demand and generation in a residential power distribution network. Our forecasting scheme had two tiers: long-term demand/generation forecaster which is based on Maximum-Likelihood Estimator (MLE) and real-time demand/generation forecaster which is based on Auto-Regressive Integrated Moving-Average (ARIMA) model. The paper also showed how bulk generation improves the adequacy of our residential system by canceling-out the forecasters estimation errors which are in the form of Gaussian White noises.
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